

Rubric for Student Affairs Annual Assessment Reports

	Emergent (1.00-.199)	Developed (2.00-2.99)	Highly Developed (3.00)	Unknown (0)
Student Learning Outcomes	Student learning outcomes are vague or overly broad; outcomes do not suggest what students might be able to do to show mastery.	Most outcomes are reasonably clear and specific; some outcomes suggest what students might be able to do to show mastery.	Outcomes have unambiguous content; outcomes suggest what students would be able to do to show mastery.	
Analysis of Evidence	Analysis is limited to totals or overall averages and/or analysis simply reports statistics with no reflection; analysis does not examine various dimensions of learning or performance across subgroups of students.	Analysis conveys a relatively complete picture of the evidence by making connections between various features of the assessment process; analysis looks at more obvious dimensions of learning or subgroups of students.	Analysis is insightful and makes connections between issues and higher level (e.g.: campus/disciplinary) trends; analysis examines various dimensions of learning in ways that are sophisticated.	
Use of Assessment Results	Recommendations are not evident or are disconnected from the analysis; there is no discussion of prior assessment work or follow up on previous recommendations.	Recommendations are clearly connected to the outcomes assessed or issues uncovered; there is some discussion of how assessment links to other issues or developments in the department; there is follow up or discussion of earlier cycles of assessment.	Recommendations are clearly connected to the outcomes assessed or issues uncovered; there is meaningful discussion of how assessment links to issues in the department; recommendations from previous cycles of assessment clearly support improvement.	